

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/081,074	02/21/2002	Sergey Lopatin	P1406	2324
25700 75	590 04/20/2004		EXAMINER	
FARJAMI & FARJAMI LLP			FOURSON III, GEORGE R	
	MEDA AVENUE, SUI' JO, CA 92691	GUITE 360	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2823	
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

746			im			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/081,074	LOPATIN, SERGEY			
Office Action Sumn	iary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	-	George Fourson	2823			
The MAILING DATE of this of Period for Reply	communication app	pears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If the period for reply specified above is less the - If NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing the period for reply is specified above, the mailing the period for reply is specified above, the mailing the period for reply within the set or extended period period by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	OMMUNICATION.  provisions of 37 CFR 1.1  f this communication.  nan thirty (30) days, a repl  aximum statutory period of  of for reply will, by statute  the months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' e, cause the application to become AB/	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on 20 Ja	anuarv 2004.				
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .		action is non-final.				
3)☐ Since this application is in co						
closed in accordance with th	e practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4)	is/are withdrands.  1. stand 30 is/are record to.	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on						
Applicant may not request that			• •			
11) The oath or declaration is ob			s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  Office Action or form PTO-152			
	, oolog to by the b	variation. Note the attached	omee reason of femiliary 100 102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	ne of: priority document priority document copies of the prio ternational Burear	s have been received. s have been received in Aprity documents have been to u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) \( \sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Δ) □ Intende… S	ummary (PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing		Paper No(s)	)/Mail Date			
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	0-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5)	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/081,074

Art Unit: 2823

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/20/04 has been entered.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-10,21,22,25-28,29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, it is unclear what is recited through use of "minimal increase in a resistance". The term appears to not add to the claim and should be deleted because it is inherent in any increase as discussed below.

Claims 1-10,21,22,25-28,29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alling et al in combnation with Mahneke, Kowalski and Krishnamoorthy et al.

The rejection is maintained as stated in the paper mailed 9/16/03 and as stated in the advisory action mailed 1/6/04.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been led to the recited concentrations of wetting agent and Zn concentration through routine experimentation as discussed in the office action mailed 9/16/03.

Art Unit: 2823

Alling discloses the amount of resistivity increase as preferable as opposed to necessary.

Furthermore, the amount of resistivity increase is "minimal" as compared to other processes which obtain a greater increase in resistivity.

Applicant's arguments are addressed in the statement of the rejection above.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956 until 2/4/04. See MPEP 203.08.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner George Fourson whose telephone number is (571)272-1860. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri, can be reached on (571)272-1855. The fax number for this group is (571)273-0224 and the customer service number for group 2800 is 571-272-2800. Updates can be found at http://www.uspto.gov/web/info/2800.htm.

George Fourson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2823

GFourson April 14, 20049